

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 02/09/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/894,815 06/28/2001		6/28/2001	Bernd Burchard	56432-45107	4105	
21874	7590	02/09/2004		EXAMINER		
EDWARDS	& ANG	ELL, LLP	DESIR, JEAN WICEL			
P.O. BOX 55874 BOSTON, MA 02205				ART UNIT PAPER NU		
Bob row, w	71 0220.			2614	9	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A	11:	A!! 4(-)					
		Application	No	Applicant(s)					
4.4	Office Action Commence	09/894,815		BURCHARD ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Jean W. Dé		2614					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>28 November 200</u>)3 .						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers								
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 28 November 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 									
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) Notice 3) Information	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTo- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Pior No(s)/Mail Date	TO/SB/08)	Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa	te	D-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/894,815

Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reitmeier (US 6,549,240). (*This is the same rejection in the last Office Action, mailed on 9/23/03*).

Claim 1:

Reitmeier discloses:

"a first device which acts on a video signal with graphical picture elements and text characters to produce a first device output video signal", see Fig. 1 item 120;

"a second device which converts a frame rate of the first device output video signal to produce an increased frame rate video signal", see Fig. 1 items 130-160, col. 13 lines 52-55, col. 5 lines 41-51;

"a driver stage which drives a display responsive to the increased frame rate video signal", see Fig. 1 items 170, 175;

the difference between the claimed invention and Reitmeier's disclosure is that Reitmeier does not explicitly say that the first device acts on the video signal with graphical picture elements and text characters. However, Official Notice is taken,

Application/Control Number: 09/894,815

Art Unit: 2614

overlay graphical picture elements and text characters onto a video signal, as claimed, is a very well known procedure in the art that would provide to viewer on-screen display information; thus, an artisan would be motivated to implemented this well Known feature in Reitmeier's disclosure to arrive at the claimed invention, this implementation would provide graphical/texture information to the viewer. Therefore, the claimed invention would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

Claim 2 is disclosed, see Fig. 1 item 160 which is a picture storage device as claimed.

Claim 3 is disclosed, see col. 5 lines 26-51.

Claims 4, 5 are disclosed, see col. 4 line 58 to col. 5 line 18.

Claim 6 is disclosed, see col. 4 lines 47-60.

Claim 7:

the claimed "a least one input stage for receiving compressed picture data from at least one transmission medium; and at least one decoding unit for converting the picture data into digital pixel data of an overall data stream which is fed to the first device" is met, see Fig. 1 item 104-108, col. 3 lines 27-29, 60-62.

Claims 8-14 are rejected for the same reasons as claims 1-7.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Applicants argue against the Official Notice taken in the last Office Action which is repeated above, and request the Office to provide evidence; and arguing that

Application/Control Number: 09/894,815

Art Unit: 2614

"Indeed, Reitmeier provides no such motivation or reason and it is black letter law that is impermissible for the Examiner to read teachings into a reference which are simply not there. Accordingly, for this additional reason the subject claims are not rendered obvious by Reitmeier".

As requested by the Applicants, the reference (US 6,606,128) to Hanafee et al is provided; the reference clearly shows the very well known feature discussed in the rejection (see the ABSTRACT line 10 to last line, also Figs. 3-7), that is to say, disclosure of video signal with graphical picture elements and text characters. And Reitmeier clearly disclosed a first device which acts on a video signal with other information (see Fig. 1 item 120 as pointed out in the rejection, and also col. 4 lines 42-43); thus, contrary to the Applicants' arguments, Reitmeier provides motivation because his disclosure acts on video signal with other information.

Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 2614

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Page 5

- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jean W. Désir whose telephone number is (703) 308 9571. The examiner can normally be reached on 5/4/9 First Friday Off.
- 6. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John W. Miller can be reached on (703) 305 4795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872 9306.
- 7. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306 0377.

JWD Feb. 4, 04

AMCHAEL H. LEI PRIMARY EXAMIN